App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 151.

Previous References (1920)

Serial Nos. 1 -

Later References

LPB 151-26 8857 16.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 27.

SUBJECT

maintenance of Vidyasalas in the District of Chitaldrug

---

2 To, The D.C. Chitaldrug
No. L 6831 d 25.3.27
Passes orders in the matter referred to above

H.G. 1.4.27

No. C. 218 L. 726-27

Enclosure one memorandum

Immediate.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
43728 Dated, Chitaldrug 28th January 1927.

From

D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

APPEAL & REVISION BRANCH
35672
1927

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

REV. SECTT.
30. JAN. 1927

83 151-26--1

Sir,

I have the honor to state that there are 13 vaidyasalas in this district, which are now maintained either wholly by the Taluk Boards or partly by the Taluk Boards and partly by the Village Panchayats or Municipalities concerned. With the abolition of the Taluk Boards, the grants hitherto made from Taluk Funds towards the maintenance of these vaidyasalas will be discontinued with effect from 1st February 1927, with the result that several of them will have to be closed from that date as the Village Panchayats will hardly be in a position to maintain them wholly from their funds. It has been pressed upon me that the closing of the vaidyasalas will deprive the people of the villages concerned of necessary medical aid though primitive it be, as also the vaidyas and hakims attached to them, of their principal means of livelihood. There have been already strong representations from several quarters for the continuance of these vaidyasalas both in the interest of the villagers and vaidyas and hakims concerned. Besides, the number of Local Fund Dispensaries serving the needs of the people in the rural parts of the District is very few at present. It is, therefore, highly desirable that these vaidyasalas should be continued till allopathic dispensaries are gradually increased in numbers in rural circles. All the Vaidayasalas will accordingly be continued even after the abolition of the Taluk Boards and the maintenance charges in each case will be met partly from the funds of the Village Panchayat within whose jurisdiction the institution is situated, and partly of the surrounding Panchayats which may be justifiably supposed to derive some benefit therefrom--say within a radius of 5 miles from it, in some equitable proportion to be fixed by the Village Panchayats according

✓

according to the circumstances such as population, capacity for contribution &c of the villages served by the institution. Grants will also be made from the District Funds for the purpose wherever the circumstances demand such a step. In cases where the above arrangements are not quite feasible some grant will also have to be made by Government. I request that you will kindly make a State grant of Rs 1,000 to this District to meet such a contingency in the current year and also arrange for a grant of Rs 2000 per annum being made for the same purpose in the succeeding years.

A statement showing the particulars of the vaidyasalas now established in the District is herein enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramachendra Rao

Treasury Asst. Commissioner

For Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To The Head Quarters,

Submitted for orders.

BB
3/2/27

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-5-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

1 The proposals of the President, Mr Archbold, Dist Mgt are as follows:—

1) Continuance of the existing Valley Relief with allopathic dispensaries are increased in sufficient numbers in rural areas.

2 This has reference to the sanction

2). The cost of the institutions to be met partly by the village Panchayats in which the institutions situated partly by Panchayats within a radius of 3 miles which may reasonably be supposed to be benefitted by the same in some equitable proportion to be fixed by each Panchayat according to population, income &c, supplemented by grants from Dist. Bd.

3 Preservation of Public health is one of the obligatory duties of Village Panchayats & which therefore is one of their discretionary duties (vide Section 20 & 21 of the Panchayat Regulation).

4 Under Section 5 of the Dist Bd Regn the Dist Bd shall provide subject to exemptions & conditions which Govt may make in measures necessary for public health, Sanitation & the Local services affecting villages under different Panchayats.

5 The President says that the institutions were started

NOTE – Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

the interests of those villages in which the institutions actually exist, but also the neighbouring villages - Board it is for consideration, whether the costs of these institutions, unless they serve exclusively the interest of a particular village, should not from the District Fund ~~be~~. and whether the District Board should be permitted only to contribute to the maintenance as now proposed ~~to~~. This is a general question & ~~may be~~ one in which the opinion of each Local Govt may perhaps be obtained

and the Panchayats of the Municipality for the maintenance

6 3) The first Grant is also asked for other than Panchayats may also ask for the same Grant from first is perhaps called for.

L L Rees
1st Member

8/2/09
8/2

7 If no Grants are now payable, ~~but that~~ Grants from Govt cannot be promised, & even the Vizagapatam as Dr Board or Panchayats or D Bs & Panchayats jointly agree to maintain may continue. (Contractual power action that is not ~~precluded~~ is allowable. L L Rees Esq have noted on legal point raised by Hon Sec 9 & presume this view is correct

9/2/09 - 8d. v

Between Panchayats or Panchayats & the Bd.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | Lb File No. 3507 (151) of 1926

Serial No.

receiving benefit, the Govt and the Govt have perhaps in turn to contribute one after the other on the merits of each case. The Govt's views have however to be ascertained except that Govt should be very slow in committing itself to any promise for subscription ——

Yes
Yes

14 From the statement accompanying the Bill it will be seen that the Vaidyasalas in the Chittaldrug area are either maintained solely by Taluk Boards or Village Panchayats or (i) partly from Taluk Funds & partly from Village Panchayat Funds, or (ii) maintained partly from the funds & partly from the Funds.

15. The first kind only [illegible] maintained solely by the Taluk Boards should be maintained solely by the Taluk Boards while the second continue to be maintained by the District Boards.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Lrs File No. 151 of 192

Serial No.

This is due to the fact that the Dt Boards have inherited all the assets and liabilities of the Board (vide para 2 of the Govt Order and also section 2 cl (c) of the Dt Board Regulation. Further under Sec 45 cl(f) every Dt Board should undertake measures necessary for the public health, Sanitation, and other local services affecting villages under different Panchayats and etc. Such a use of district funds is legal under Sec 65 of the Dist Boards Regn when sanctioned by Govt.

On the same reasoning justifies the continues of any partial help by the district Boards on behalf of the Boards.

17 Hospitals now maintained totally by village funds, the village Panchayats should continue to maintain them. The Relief of the poor and the sick is a discretionary duty of the Panchayat (vide Sec 21 ii of the V. P. Regn.

18 Under Section 25 of the V. P. Regn The Panchayat fund has been defined so as to include donations

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 151 of 1926.

Serial No.

Contributions or grants from district Boards or from a body of persons on district Boards & Municipal Councils can be given for continuing the grant

By G.O No 245-28-36 dt 19-12-41 empowering Local Boards for making grants to Vaidyasalas is also put up & Ruled

Para 4. [illegible] 20. Govt in not sanctioning grants to Vaidyasalas, except in regard to Vaidyasalas under Natural Improvement scheme, which is met from Natural Impt Fund. It is the local bodies that are contributing to them.

(21) ~~A draft is put up on~~ Paras 14 to 20 are submitted with reference to para 7. A draft will be put up on the above lines.

(Sd) [illegible] 16/2

(22) [It appears to me that the position is as follows:—

(1) Vaidyasalas maintained wholly by Village funds shd. be maintained by Village Panchayats,

(2) Vaidyasalas maintained wholly by Taluk funds shd. be maintained by Dt. Bd. funds

(3) V. Salas maintained jointly by Taluk and Village funds shd. be maintained by Dt Bd. & Village Panchayat, and

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

3567

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No 151 of 1926.

Serial No.

(4) V. Salar maintained by Taluk a Municipal funds Shd. be maintain by D.B. and Muni. funds.
In other words, Kal. D.B. Bordeka Temple of Taluk Bds.]

(23) For Law's opinion on the Contractual joint action referred to by the Mamlatdar in his minute of 29.2.27. (para 7 c

Secy, Law Dept
With Compts

RR
17/2

(24) I agree with the view expressed above. ~~I have no comments on the points on which I am not giving an opinion.~~

Genl Secy
with Compts.

(25) Dr Moon
RR
17/3

Draft Rules
Done
2.3.27 C/S

Secy
First Member — RR
11/3

9394
14/3

(26) Much better to secure that Kyd Basalas maintained by Taluk Bd or Taluk Bd & D.B.s jointly should be maintained by D. Boards, those maintained by the Taluk Bds should be maintained by the Taluk Bds & the

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-2-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | LB File No 151 of 192 6

Serial No.

all other cases in wh. two local bodies jointly were maintg, the same may continue at their discretion. ~~This~~

22/3

Revise draft as above

RR

22/3.

Revised draft sent.

Time 2½ hrs. Sunday)

22/3

RR

22/3.

22/3

3 | To D.C. Chittagong,

No 6831 dt 25.3.29

States that the Vaidyapaths maintained by Dist Boards & Dist. Boards jointly should be maintained by Dist. Boards & those maintained by Village Panchayats should be maintained by Panchayats themselves & in all other cases in which two local bodies were jointly maintaining the same may continue at their discretion etc.

B

NOTE: Contn.Sheet

Statement showing the places where Vaidyasalas are established in the C h i t a l d r u g D i s t r i c t.

Taluk	Village	Rate of monthly allowance Rs	Cost how met at present	Remarks.
Chitaldrug	1. Chikondanahalli	15	From Village Panchayat Funds	
	2. Turuvanur	15	do	
	3. Dodsiddavvana-halli	15	do	
	4. Chitaldrug	20	From Taluk Funds and Municipal Funds in equal proportions	
Chellakere	5. Ramjogihalli	15	From Taluk and Village Panchayat Funds in equal proportions	
	6. Parasurampura	30	From Taluk and Village Panchayat Funds in the ratio of 2 to 1.	
	7. Naikanhatti	24	From Taluk and Municipal Funds in equal proportions.	
Hiriyur	8. Javanagondnahalli	17	From Taluk and Village Panchayat Funds in equal proportions.	
	9. Aimangala	20	Wholly from Taluk Funds	
	10. Muskal	20	do	
Holalkere	11. Talya	20	From Taluk and Village Funds in the ratio of 2 to 1	
	12. Ramgiri	20	do	
	13. Bharamanaikan-durga	20	do	
Hosadurga	14. Belagur	20	do	

	15. Srirampura	20	do
Davangere	16. Hadadi	20	From village funds
	17. Lokikere	20	do
	18. Mayakonda	20	From Taluk & Municipal funds in equal proportions
Jagalur	19. Bilchodu	20	From Village Funds
	20. Sokke	20	do
	21. Kalledevarapura	15	do

Abstract.

1. Maintained wholly from Taluk Funds	2
2. Maintained partly from Taluk Funds and partly from Village Funds.	8
3. Maintained partly from Taluk Funds and partly from Municipal Funds.	3
4. Maintained wholly from Village Funds	8
Total	21

for Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section L3

File No. 157-26

Serial No 3

No. L6801

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Dy Commissioner

Chitaldrug

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 25-3-27

Signed by.....

Sir,

With refer to your letter no C. 218 L. Y. 26-24 dated the 28th January 1924, on the maintenance ~~of~~ in future of Vaidyasalas hereto-fore ~~being~~ maintained wholly ~~or partly~~ by the Taluk Boards or partly by the Taluk Boards & partly by the Village Panchayets or Municipalities I am directed to state that Vaidyasalas that had been maintained by Taluk Boards

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.

In Taluk Boards & Dist Boards
jointly should be maintained
by Dt Boards; those maintained
by the Village Panchayats
should be maintained by
the Panchayats themselves & in
all other cases in which these
local bodies (jointly and/maintaining,
the same may continue at their
discretion.

As regards the grant
asked for from Govt, I am
to state that no grant
is possible during the current
year & no promise can be
held out just now for the
future

I have etc

PR
21/3

Secy to Govt

Tour
21/5/43